

(cert mail) NO 201405106000158755  
RR 7 95904403 03.2051552

JUNE 28, 2017

MR. ERIC VINSON; MS. VALERIE ERTZ;  
MR. DOUGLAS LANG; MR. RICKY RAVEN;  
MS. PATTI JOHNSON; MS. MARTHA HERNANDEZ;  
MS. DIANE THREADGILL; MR. DEMETRIUS BIVINS;  
MS. ORLINDA NARANJO; MR. DAVID RUSSELL;  
MR. DAVID PATRONELLA; MR. DAVID HALL;  
MS. CATHERINE WYLIE EN BANC

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT TO DISQUALIFY MY TRIAL  
JUDGE FOR JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT, SPECIFICALLY  
BRIBERY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH ART 5§11, TEXAS  
CONSTITUTION AND IN VIOLATION OF TEXAS PENAL  
CODE SECTIONS 36.02 BRIBERY AND 39.02 ABUSE  
OF OFFICIAL CAPACITY IN THE TRAVIS COUNTY 299TH  
DISTRICT COURT, CASE NO. D-1-DL-13-90421,  
KAREN SAGE PRESIDING.

DEAR MR. VINSON, OFFICERS AND MEMBERS:  
MY NAME IS CHARLES MALDOFF. I WAS CON-  
VICTED IN THIS CASE BY JUDGE KAREN SAGE. IMMEDI-  
ATELY AFTER MY CONVICTION, TWO ATTORNEYS - OFFICERS  
OF THE COURT- INDIVIDUALLY STATED SAGE IMPOSED  
RULINGS, ORDERS, AND DECISIONS AGAINST ME FOR  
"VOTES AND CONTRIBUTIONS FOR HER UPCOMING RE-  
ELECTION." ELEMENTS OF BRIBERY UNDER THE  
STATUTE. MY PREVIOUS COMPLAINT NUMBERS TO THIS FOF-  
MAL COMPLAINT ARE CX NO 14-0826 AND GJC NO. 16-0731-D

I HAVE REPEATEDLY ALLEGED SAME WAS, IN ALL PROBABILITY, BRIBED. I PROVIDED YOU WITH SUBSTANTIAL SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION. SINCE MY LAST SUBMISSION TO YOU, MORE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE IDENTIFYING PERSONS WITH MOTIVE, MEANS, AND OPPORTUNITY HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF TEXAS, CASE NO. W2-82,475-Q3.

ART. 5 § 11 OF THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION, DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGES STATES, IN PART:

"NO JUDGE SHALL SIT IN ANY CASE WHEREIN THE JUDGE MAY BE INTERESTED OR WHERE EITHER OF THE PARTIES MAY BE CONNECTED WITH THE JUDGE, EITHER BY AFFINITY OR CON- STANGUINITY WITHIN SUCH DEGREE AS MAY BE PREScribed BY LAW, OR WHEN THE JUDGE SHALL HAVE COUNSEL IN THE CASE."

THE INTERPRETIVE COMMENTARY OF THIS SECTION CLARIFIES THE COMMON LAW OF DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGES IS CLEAR AND SIMPLE. A JUDGE IS ~~DISQUALIFIED~~ DISQUALIFIED FOR DIRECT PECUNIARY INTEREST AND NOTHING ELSE.

THE WORDS "MAY BE" IMPLY THAT IF THERE IS A DOUBT OF A JUDGE BEING INTERESTED IN THE CASE, HE IS THEREBY DISQUALIFIED.

THE TEXAS CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, SEC. 18(a) DISQUALIFICATION, STATES, THAT A TEXAS JUDGE IS TO BE DETERMINED WITH ~~REFERENCE~~ TO THE STATE CONSTITUTION AND STATUTE.

TEXAS PENAL CODE SEC. 36.02 BRIBERY OUTLINES

THE ELEMENTS AND CULPABLE STATES OF THE OFFENSE  
SPECIFICALLY, THE STATUTE SAYS: (a) IF HE INTENTIONALLY  
OR KNOWINGLY OFFERS, CONFERRED, OR AGREES TO CONFIDE  
ON ANOTHER, OR SOLICITS, ACCEPTS OR AGREES TO ACCEPT  
FROM ANOTHER;

1. ANY BENEFIT AS CONSIDERATION FOR RECIPIENT'S DECISION, OPINION, RECOMMENDATION, VOTE, OR OTHER EXERCISE OF DISCRETION AS A PUBLIC SERVANT, PARTY OFFICIAL OR VOTER;
2. ANY BENEFIT FOR THE RECIPIENT'S DECISION, VOTE, RECOMMENDATION, OR OTHER EXERCISE OF OFFICIAL DISCRETION IN A JUDICIAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING;
3. ANY BENEFIT AS A CONSIDERATION OF A VIOLATION OF A DUTY IMPOSED BY LAW ON A PUBLIC SERVANT OR PARTY OFFICIAL;
4. ANY BENEFIT THAT IS A POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION AS DEFINED BY TITLE 15, ELECTION CODE, OR THAT IS AN EXPENDITURE MADE AND REPORTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 305, G.O.T. CODES IF THE BENEFIT WAS OFFERED, CONFERRED, SOLICITED, ACCEPTED OR AGREED TO PURSUANT TO AN EXPRESS AGREEMENT TO TAKE OR WITHHOLD A SPECIFIC EXERCISE OF OFFICIAL DISCRETION, IF SUCH EXERCISE OF OFFICIAL DISCRETION WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN TAKEN OR WITHHELD BUT FOR THE BENEFIT

TEXAS PENAL CODE SEC. 39.02 ABUSE OF OFFICIAL CAPACITY  
(a) STATES: "A PUBLIC SERVANT COMMITS AN OFFENSE IF

WITH THE INTENT TO OBTAIN A BENEFIT OR WITH THE INTENT TO HARM OR DEFRAUD ANOTHER, HE INTENTIONALLY OR KNOWINGLY:

(1) VIOLATES A LAW (TPC 36.02) RELATING TO THE PUBLIC SERVANT'S OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT  
TO AVOID ANY APPEARANCE OF IMPROPERITY OR VIOLATION OF LAW, SAGE SHOULD HAVE STOPPED THE PROCEEDING; NOT ACCEPTED THE CONTRIBUTION FROM WANNA MAKER; REPORTED HIM TO THE STATE BAR; NOT LISTENED TO WATSON; AND, REPORTED HIM TO THE TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL PUBLIC INTEGRITY UNIT, THE LEGISLATIVE JUDICIAL COMMITTEE AND THE STATE GENERAL INVESTIGATING AND ETHICS COMMITTEE. THEN, REBUDED HERSELF. THE DOCTRINE OF THE CONSTITUTION MUST APPLY!

INSTEAD, SHE ACCEPTED THE CONTRIBUTION AT A CRITICAL POINT IN THE PROCEEDING; CONFERRED AND ACCEPTED RECOMMENDATION AT A CRITICAL POINT IN THE PROCEEDING AND WENT FORWARD MAKING ADVERSE DECISIONS, RULINGS AND ORDERS, SUCH AS, THE DENIAL OF A FRANK'S MOTION, DENYING DISMISSAL, MISTRIAL AND MORE AGAINST MYSELF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
THE EXPECTATION FROM THESE CONTRIBUTIONS WAS THE TURNING OF A BLIND EYE TO EVIDENTIAL PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, SUCH AS, THE PROSECUTOR, HOLLY TAYLOR, WHO FUNCTIONED AS AN INVESTIGATOR EIGHT MONTHS BEFORE ANY MEANINGFUL PROBABLE CAUSE, THEN ACTIVELY ENDED IN THE ABSOLUTE DESTRUCTION OF EXONERATING

EVIDENCE, THEN PROSECUTED THE CASE. THE LIST GOES ON AND ON!

THE EGREGIOUS PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT SHOULD HAVE STOPPED THE PROCEEDINGS IN ITS TRACKS. THIS PROSECUTION WAS AN INTENTIONALLY MISGUIDED PERSECUTION TO COVER UP FELONY CRIMINAL CONDUCT BY THE COMPLAINANT, TRAVIS COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTY TOBY MILLER, COMPOUNDED BY THE CRIMINAL ACTIONS OF JONESTOWN MAYOR DEANE ARMSTRONG, AND MY FIRST ATTORNEY, DANIEL H. WANNAMAKER, AND TEXAS STATE SENATOR KIRK WATSON, ARMSTRONG'S SELF-DESCRIBED "BEST FRIEND."

IN ADDITION TO THE DOCUMENTATION PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION, INFORMATION ON PERSONS WHO HAD MOTIVE, MEANS, AND OPPORTUNITY TO BRIBE SAGE, DIRECTLY, OR INDIRECTLY THROUGH ARMSTRONG OR ANOTHER HAS BEEN SUBMITTED IN EXHIBITS IN CASE NO WR-82,475-03 (COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS).

ACCORDING TO THE AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND TEXAS DISCIPLINARY RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, RULE 8.02 (g), "A LAWYER SHALL NOT MAKE A STATEMENT THAT THE LAWYER KNOWS TO BE FALSE OR WITH RECKLESS DISREGARD AS TO ITS TRUTH OR FALSITY CONCERNING THE QUALIFICATIONS OR INTEGRITY OF A JUDGE, ADJUDICATORY OFFICIAL OR PUBLIC LEGAL OFFICER, OR A CANDIDATE FOR ELECTION OR APPOINTMENT TO JUDICIAL

OR LEGAL OFFICES."

TWO ATTORNEYS, JACKIE WOOD, WANNAMAKER'S REPLACEMENT AND, ACCORDING TO HER, SAGE'S BEST FRIEND ("KAREN IS MY BEST FRIEND."), AND MY APPELLATE ATTORNEY, M. ARIEL RAYAN, INDEPENDENTLY AND WITHIN A WEEK OF EACH OTHER, STATED, SAGE, "RULED AGAINST YOU FOR VOTES AND CONTRIBUTIONS FOR HER UPCOMING RE-ELECTION." BOTH SAYING THE EXACT SAME QUOTE. THIS WAS SAID IN CLARITY AND WITH SINCERITY AND NOT TAKEN OUT OF CONTEXT.

ATTACHED ARE MORE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND EVIDENCE OF DIRECT, REAL, AND CERTAIN MONETARY TRANSACTIONS MADE AT CRITICAL POINTS IN THE PROCEEDINGS.

THESE TRANSACTIONS CLEARLY LEAVES NO DOUBT WHEN EXAMINING THE RECORDS, TIMING, AND TOTALITY OF CIRCUMSTANCES THIS INFORMATION WOULD LEAD A REASONABLE AND PUDENT PERSON TO BELIEVE A CRIME HAS BEEN COMMITTED.

SAGE'S ACCEPTANCE OF WANNAMAKER'S \$250<sup>00</sup> CONTRIBUTION ALONE MAKES HER "INTEREST" DIRECT, REAL, CERTAIN, AND PECUNIARY - NO MATTER HOW SMALL AND RESULTED FROM THE INSTANT CASE. WANNAMAKER WAS REMOVED ON NOVEMBER 5<sup>th</sup>, 2012 (SEE EXHIBIT 2, PAGE 2-8) FOR A "CONFLICT OF INTEREST".

EXHIBIT 1, PAGE 1 IS A COPY OF MY SECOND RESPONSES FROM THE COMMISSION ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF MY COMPLAINT INFORMATION AND REFERENCE

BOTH COMPLAINT NUMBERS CJC NO. 14-0826-DI  
AND CJC NO. 16-0731-DI.

EXHIBIT 2, PAGES 2-1 THRU 2-6 ARE COPIES OF EXCERPTS FROM PERSONAL NOTES I MADE ON FEBRUARY 9TH AND 10<sup>TH</sup>, 2012.

THESE NOTES DESCRIBE THE NEGLIGENT, RECKLESS AND INEFFECTIVE ATTITUDE AND CONDUCT OF DANIEL H. WANNAMAKER. HE WAS AN ATTORNEY IN NAME ONLY!

HIS NEGLIGENT AND RECKLESS REPRESENTATION ALLOWED THE PROSECUTION TEAM TO MALICIOUSLY AND VINDICTIVELY DESTROY ALL OF MY EXONERATING EVIDENCE BEFORE ANY AUTHORIZATION BY THE COURT OR TRIAL. IN ADDITION, REALIZING HE WAS LOOKING AT MALPRACTICE - WHICH INCLUDES THE LOSS OF PENDING (AT THE TIME) CONTRACTS WORTH ALMOST #50 MILLION IN WIND ENERGY PROJECTS, DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL, EXCLUDING JONESTOWN - BY OCTOBER 23RD, 2012 HE CRIMINALLY INTERFERED WITH MY FEDERAL CRIMINAL CASE THAT WAS PRECIPITATED ON THIS BAD FAITH STATE SEARCH WARRANT. WANNAMAKER TOLD ME; "I TOLD SAGE I MAY HAVE INTERFERED WITH YOUR FEDERAL CASE." THIS WAS THE OFFICIAL EXCUSE FOR HIS "CONFLICT OF INTEREST" AND REMOVAL FURTHER DRIVING HIS MOTIVATION TO ZURE SINCE FOR HER RULINGS, DECISIONS, AND ORDERS AGAINST ME

[REDACTED] WAS THE REALITY - DETERMINED ON 1 OCTOBER 2014 (SEE EXHIBIT 2, PAGES 2-10 THRU 2-12) THAT HE NOT ONLY WAS LOOKING AT

A MALPRACTICE SUIT, BUT POSSIBLY BEING INDICTED AS A CO-CONSPIRATOR IN THE CIMINAL OBSTRUCTION AND INTERFERENCE IN THE INVESTIGATION OF:

- 1) FEDERALLY PROTECTED ACTIVITIES (THE GRANT - THE SUBJECT OF THE STATE CASE) 18 USC 245; 2) DESTRUCTION OF AN ENERGY FACILITY 18 USC 1366; 3) ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER OR MANSLAUGHTER (TOM MILLER A PRIMARY SUSPECT) 18 USC 113; 4) INTERFERENCE WITH A BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDING (WATERSCAPE PROPERTY) 18 USC 1915; CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT OFFENSE OR TO DEFRAUD UNITED STATES 18 USC 371; 6) OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS 18 USC 1510; 7) RETALIATION AGAINST A WITNESS 18 USC 1513; 8) DESTRUCTION, ALTERATION OR FALSIFICATION OF RECORDS IN FEDERAL INVESTIGATION AND BANKRUPTCY 18 USC 1519; 9) CONSPIRACY 18 USC 241; 10) ESPIONAGE 18 USC 793; 11) ESPIONAGE 18 USC 794; 12) ESPIONAGE 18 USC 795; 13) TAMPERING WITH A WITNESS 18 USC 1512; 14) DESTRUCTION OF WAR MATERIAL 18 USC 2153; 15) DESTRUCTION OF A WAR UTILITY 18 USC 2153; 16) DESTRUCTION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE MATERIAL 18 USC 2155; 17) DESTRUCTION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE UTILITY 18 USC 2155; 18) SABOTAGE 18 USC 2154; 19) SABOTAGE 18 USC 2155; 20) TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE 18 USC 1519; 21) INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE [REDACTED] 18 USC 1951; 22) INTERFERENCE WITH INTERNATIONAL COMMERCE 18 USC 1951; 23) CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD THE GOVERNMENT WITH REGARD TO CLAIMS (WANDERSKIE) 18 USC 286; 24) GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

OR CONTRACTS (WONESTOWN WIND PROJECT) 18USC 1361; 26)  
CONCEALMENT OF ASSETS, FALSE OATHS AND CLAIMS, BRIBERY  
(UP UNTIL WANNAMAKER'S TRANSACTION - AND SAME FOR ARMSTRONG  
AND WATSON - CONCEALMENT OF ASSETS/ FALSE CLAIMS APPLIED  
TO VANDERLIE AND WATERHOUSE REPRESENT) 18USC 152; 27)

BANKRUPTCY FRAUD 18USC 151

ON OR ABOUT OCTOBER 22ND, 2012, I HAD A VERBAL  
CONFRONTATION WITH WANNAMAKER OVER HIS INCOMPETENCE.  
ON OCTOBER 25<sup>TH</sup> I PUT IT IN WRITING.

EXHIBIT 2, PAGES 2-7 AND 2-8 DETAIL THE EMAIL I  
SENT AND HIS RESPONSE. PAGE 2-9 IS A COPY OF SAGE'S  
ETHICS COMMISSION POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OTHER THAN  
PLEGES OR LOANS (JUDICIAL) CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORT.

THIS IS THE ONLY REPORTED CONTRIBUTION TO SAGE BY  
DANIEL H. WANNAMAKER BETWEEN 2012 AND 2017.

ACCORDING TO THE TEXAS PENAL CODE SEC. 36.02,

" IT IS NO DEFENSE TO PROSECUTION UNDER THIS  
SECTION THAT THE BENEFIT IS NOT OFFERED  
OR CONFERRED OR THAT THE BENEFIT IS NOT  
SOLICITED OR ACCEPTED UNTIL AFTER".

1. THE DECISION, OPINION, RECOMMENDATION,  
VOTE OR OTHER EXERCISE OF DISCRETION  
HAS OCCURRED."

THE MAGNITUDE OF WANNAMAKER'S GROSS NEGLIGENCE  
AND POSSIBLE CRIMINAL CULPABILITY IS EVIDENCED IN EXHIBIT  
2, PAGES 2-10 THRU 2-12. THESE ARE EXERPTS FROM MY  
FEDERAL EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON 1 OCTOBER 2014, 1 YEAR  
AFTER MY STATE TRIAL. PROSECUTOR HOLLY TAYLOR RELUCT-

ANTLY APPEARED UNDER THREAT OF ARREST BY THE U.S. MARSHALLS) AND TESTIFIED. SHE CLEARLY SUPPORTS WANNAMAKER'S INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE. IN ADDITION, SHE RELUCTANTLY ADMITTED SHE WAS OUT FUNCTIONING AS AN INVESTIGATOR, TAKING PHOTOGRAPHS (EXHIBIT 2, PAGES 2-16), INTERVIEWING WITNESSES (PAGES 2-13 THRU 2-15) AND GATHERING EVIDENCE EIGHT (8) MONTHS BEFORE SHE, LORI CARTER, HER INVESTIGATOR, AND TONY MILLER FABRICATE THEIR PRIOR FAITH PROBABLE CAUSE.

EXHIBIT 2, PAGES 2-13 THRU 2-15 ARE COPIES OF EXCERPT OF DATES AND CARTER'S SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT BI-LINE SUBSTANTIAL DOCUMENTATION REGARDING TAYLOR'S CONSPIRACY WITH DIANE ARMSTRONG AND JONESTOWN TO DESTROY EXONERATING EVIDENCE IS FOUND IN COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS CASES WR-82,475 AND WR-82,475-03.

SAGE WAS MADE AWARE OF TAYLOR'S "FUNCTIONAL" MISCONDUCT VERY EARLY ON IN 2012 IN A PRE-TRIAL HEARING [REDACTED] - JOE TURNER, [REDACTED] ATTORNEY RAISED PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT WITH THE COURT WHEN TAYLOR AND CARTER TRIED TO PASS OFF CARTER'S 200 PAGE SUPPLEMENTAL POLICE REPORT AS A 28 PAGE FULL REPORT. AFTER TURNER'S STRENUEOUS OBJECTIONS AND ARGUMENTS, SAGE DECIDED SHE WOULD READ THE FULL REPORT FIRST, THEN DECIDE WHAT THE DEFENSE COULD OR COULD NOT HAVE. AT THAT CRITICAL POINT, SAGE SHOULD HAVE RECOGNIZED THE 6<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT AND PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT VIOLATIONS AND GRANTED THE MOTIONS FOR SUPPRESSION AND

DISMISSAL SHOULD HAVE BEEN GRANTED.

SAGE'S CRIMINAL CULPABILITY BY ACCEPTING WANNAMAKER'S MONEY AND IMPROPER AND ILLEGAL INFLUENCES FOR WATSON WAS EXASPERATED ON JULY 9th, 2013, WHEN MY PROPOSE MOTION FOR DISCOVERY FOR MY FEDERAL 2255 HEARING (SEE EXHIBIT 3, PAGES 3-3 THRU 3-5) WAS SERVED ON THE U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE TRAVIS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, AND MADE ITS WAY, BY PERSON'S WITH COPIES, TO THE CITY OF JONESTOWN.

THE ACCOMPANYING 2255 MOTION AND EXHIBITS (ALMOST 500 PAGES TOTAL) OUTLINED INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, PROSECUTIONAL MISCONDUCT, AND CRIMINALLY IMPLICATED DEANE ARMSTRONG. ON JULY 15th 2013,

ARMSTRONG BRIBED WATSON (SEE EXHIBIT 3, PAGE 3-10) TO CRIMINALLY INTERFERE WITH MY STATE CASE, D-1-DC-13-904021 [REDACTED] TO KEEP FROM GOING TO PRISON. WATSON'S ACCEPTANCE OF ARMSTRONG'S GIFT MADE HIM CRIMINALLY CULPABLE.

ON OCTOBER 23rd, 2013 ACCEPTING WANNAMAKER'S REAL DIRECT, CERTAIN AND PECUNIARY INTEREST, SAGE, WANNAMAKER, ARMSTRONG AND WATSON ALL BECAME, UNDER THE FEDERAL CONSPIRACY STATUTES, CRIMINALLY CULPABLE IN THE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE IN THE THEN (NOW OVER 30) 27 FEDERAL CRIMES FOUND ON PAGES 8 AND 9 OF THIS COMPLAINT.

IT BECAME THAT MUCH MORE IMPARTIVE MYSELF [REDACTED] BE CONVICTED OF CRIMES WE DID NOT COMMIT.

EXHIBIT 3, PAGES 3-1 AND 3-2 ARE RELEVANT TO FURTHER SUPPORTING WATSON'S BRIBERY ON BEHALF OF ARMSTRONG. NOT ONLY DID WATSON TRY TO INTERFERE WITH THE GRANT TWICE, BUT PAGES 3-11 THRU 3-13 SUPPORTS WATSON'S WILLINGNESS AND COMMON PRACTICE OF INTERFERING IN HIGH PROFILE CASES (IN THIS CASE FMZ.GOV. RICK PERRY v LEHMANN) INVOLVING THE AUSTIN DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND THE CAPITAL AREA PROGRESSIVE DEMOCRATS, TO WHICH SAGE IS A MEMBER OF BOTH.

ACCORDING TO ARMSTRONG, WHO SHOULD HAVE BEEN INDICTED AS HER NAME IS ALL OVER EVERY FEDERAL DOCUMENT AND CONTRACT RELATED TO THE JONESTOWN WIND PROJECT, WATSON IS HER, SELF-DESCRIBED, "BEST FRIEND".

IT WAS ARMSTRONG WHO ARRANGED FOR RICK VANDERKLUCE TO COMMIT HIS WATERSCAPE PROPERTY TO THE CITY OF JONESTOWN FOR THE GRANT. THE PROPERTY, AT THE TIME, WAS UNDER FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY PROTECTION.

HOWEVER, IN TURNING OVER THAT PROPERTY TO THE CITY OF JONESTOWN, PER THE GRANT REQUIREMENTS, WITHOUT A WRITTEN ORDER FROM THE JUDGE, (AS FOR THE DURATION OF THE GRANT, THE PROPERTY NOW BELONGED TO JONESTOWN) VANDERKLUCE COMMITTED BANKRUPTCY FRAUD. COMPOUNDING THE BANKRUPTCY FRAUD WAS THE SABOTAGE OF THREE WINDMILLS (OUT OF 9 TO BE) INSTALLED ON THE PROPERTY.

WHILE WATSON HAD HIS OWN INTERESTS IN PROTECTING SELF-SERVING ENERGY PROJECTS THAT STOO

TO LOSE NO LESS THAN \*1.5 BILLION HAD THE JONESTOWN WIND ENERGY PROJECT BEEN COMPLETED, WATSON, FOR \$25<sup>00</sup> WENT, ON BEHALF OF ARMSTRONG, TO SEEK FAVORABLE RULINGS, DECISIONS, AND ORDERS FROM SAGE. SEE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS RECORDS WR-82,475-DS THIS REAL, DIRECT, CERTAIN AND PECUNIARY INTEREST IS RECORDED ON WATSON'S TEXAS ETHICS COMMISSION POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS OTHER THAN LOANS OR PLEDGES CAMPAIGN FINANCE REPORT FOR JULY 15, 2013, NAMING DEANIS ARMSTRONG, JONESTOWN, AS THE CONTRIBUTOR (SEE EXHIBIT 3, PAGE 3-10). THIS IS THE ONLY CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION REPORTED AND RECORDED FROM ARMSTRONG BETWEEN 2012 AND 2017, AND WAS MADE AT A CRITICAL POINT IN THE PROCEEDINGS.

NOT ONLY DID SAGE, FOR \$275<sup>00</sup> CASH AND VOTES, AND WHO KNOWS WHAT ELSE PROMISED BY WATSON (WORKING ON THAT) DENY ALL MOTIONS FOR DISMISSAL, SUPPRESSION, MISTRIAL, DIRECTED VERDICT AND MORE, SHE DENIED MY MOTIONS TO REMOVE PAYAN AND DISMISSED MY 11.07 WLT OF HABEAS CORPUS. ANY INNOCENT JUDGE WITH INTEGRITY WOULD HAVE, AT THE VERY LEAST TO AVOID THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY, STOPPED THE PROCEEDINGS OR DECLARED A MISTRIAL AND RELUSED THEMSELVES.

EXHIBIT 4, PAGES 4-1 THRU 4-7 IS A COPY OF NOTICE OF CRIMINAL CULPABILITY SERVED UPON NEWLY ELECTED TRAVIS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY MARGARET MOORE ON FEBRUARY 13, 2017.

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ABA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT AND TEXAS DISCIPLINARY RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT, SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF A PROSECUTOR, RULE 3.8 NOT ONLY DID THE TRAVIS COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ROSEMARY LEHMBELL, AND HER UNETHICAL MINIONS WHO PROSECUTED ME, VIOLATE THIS RULE, BUT MOORE IS IN VIOLATION AS WELL.

RULE 3.8 (G) SAYS:

"WHEN A PROSECUTOR KNOWS OF NEW, CREDIBLE AND MATERIAL EVIDENCE CREATING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CONVICTED DEFENDANT DID NOT COMMIT AN OFFENSE OF WHICH THE DEFENDANT WAS CONVICTED, THE PROSECUTOR SHALL - BEING DEFINITIVE AND A DUTY (1) PROMPTLY DISCLOSE THAT EVIDENCE TO AN APPROPRIATE COURT OR AUTHORITY (THE COMMISSION AS THIS CASE IS DIRECTLY RELATED TO BUBBZ) AND (2)(ii) UNDERTAKE FURTHER INVESTIGATION, OR MAKE REASONABLE EFFORTS TO CAUSE AN INVESTIGATION, TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE DEFENDANT WAS CONVICTED OF AN OFFENSE THAT THE DEFENDANT DID NOT COMMIT (TO WHICH MOORE, A DEMOCRAT ~~REFUSES~~ TO DO) AND (h) WHEN A PROSECUTOR KNOWS OF CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE ESTABLISHING THAT A DEFENDANT IN THE PROSECUTOR'S JURISDICTION WAS CONVICTED OF AN OFFENSE THAT THE DEFENDANT DID NOT COMMIT, THE PROSECUTOR SHALL - DEFINITIVE AND A DUTY - SEEK TO REME

THE CONVICTION (TO WHICH SHE REFUSES TO DO). THIS REFUSAL IS FURTHER PROOF OF SALES AND WATSON'S EFFORTS TO COVER UP THEIR BRIBERY SCHEME AND PROTECT THEMSELVES AS CO-CONSPIRATORS IN, NOW OVER 30, FEDERAL CRIMES.

I BELIEVE IT WAS THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES THAT HELD THAT ALLEGATIONS OF AN OFFICIAL ACT BY A STATE COURT JUDGE WAS A PRODUCT OF A CORRUPT CONSPIRACY INVOLVING BRIBERY OF A JUDGE IT IS SUFFICIENT TO DESERT ACTION UNDER COLOR OF LAW.

I HAVE NOW PROVIDED THIS COMMISSION WITH REFERENCED COURT CAUSE NUMBERS AND DOCUMENTS EVIDENCE OF PERSONS WHO HAVE MOTIVE, MEANS AND OPPORTUNITY ALONG WITH GOVERNMENTAL RECORD THAT SHOWS PELUINARY INTERESTS THAT ARE REAL, DIRECT, CERTAIN AND THIS APPARENCE OF IMPROPRIETY CASTS PUBLIC DISCREDIT UPON THE JUDICIARY AND ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

AS "COVER-UP" SEEKS TO BE THE NAME OF THIS GAME, THIS LETTER, AND SUPPORTING EXHIBIT IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC INTELLIGENCE AND IN THE INTEREST OF PREVENTING A FURTHER MISCALLAGE OF JUSTICE OF ERELIGIOUS PROPORIONS, WILL BE MADE, PUBLIC.

I RESPECTFULLY URGE THIS COMMISSION TO DO THE RIGHT THING. DISQUALIFY SALES AND TURN THIS CASE OVER TO THE TEXAS RANGERS OR ATTORNEY GEN-

ERAL'S PUBLIC INTELLIGENCE UNIT AND THE LEGISLATIVE GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE AND ETHICS COMMITTEE FOR A FULL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION.

I AM WORKING ON INFORMATION THAT SUPPORTS THE FACT SAGE MAY BE A CO-CONSPIRATOR WITH OTHERS, INCLUDING TDCJ PARDONS AND PAROLE EMPLOYEES DAVID GUTTEREZ, TROY FOX, ELVIS HIGHTOWER AND ED ROBERTSON WHO ARE ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN ABUSE OF OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND OFFICIAL OPPRESSION DENYING MY PAROLE TO KEEP THIS BURBERRY COVERED UP.

WHILE PAROLE MAY BE A PRIVILEGE, THE DENIAL OF PAROLE AS AN OPPRESSIVE ACT TO COVER UP FELONY CRIMINAL CONDUCT IS NOT. ACCORDING TO MULTIPLE PERSONS IN TDCJ, INPUT TO THESE PAROLE PERSONS TO DENY MY PAROLE IS COMING OUT OF THE TRAVIS COUNTY JUDICIAL SYSTEM IN AUSTIN. THIS INCLUDES SAGE AND MOORE. UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY, THE INFORMATION HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY BELIEF AND KNOWLEDGE. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

SIGNED THIS 28TH OF JUNE, 2017

C -

CHARLES A. MALOUFF, JR.

191859D

PACK UNIT TC 2-91

2400 WALLACE PACK RD

NAVASOTA, TEXAS 77868



EXHIBIT 1

